# EFFECT OF A<sub>2</sub> CYTOPLASM OF Cajanus scarabaeoides ON YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN PIGEONPEA [Cajanus cajan (L.) MILLSPUGH]

### PATEL, J. B., PATIL RAMANAND; ACHARYA, S. AND JADHAV S.S

# S. D. AGRICULTRAL UNIVERSITY, SARDARKRUSHINAGAR-385 506, GUJARAT, INDIA

E-mail: jbpatelvasai38@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

Pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millspaugh] is an important food legume crop grown in tropics and sub-tropics. Research efforts for enhancing the productivity of pigeonpea through traditional pure line breeding have not been so successful. The discovery of stable genetic male sterility followed by cytoplasmic male sterility in pigeonpea has spurred the heterosis breeding programme at the national level and a slew of diversified materials have been developed.  $A_2$  cytoplasm has positive impact on seed yield, harvest index and early maturity. The superiority of  $A_2$  cytoplasm was evident in developing better hybrids for harvest index.

KEY WORDS: Pigeonpea, Cajanus cajan (L.) Millspaugh, cytoplasm

### INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millspaugh] is an important food legume crop grown on over four million hectares globally, mainly in tropics and sub-tropics under subsistence agriculture by resource-poor farmers. Research efforts for enhancing the productivity of pigeonpea through traditional pure line breeding have not been so successful and the productivity has remained static at around 700 kg /ha (Saxena et al., 2005) The discovery of stable genetic male sterility (Reddy et al., 1978) followed by cytoplasmic male sterility (Ariyanayagam et al., 1995 and Tikka et al. 1997) in pigeonpea has spurred the heterosis breeding programme at the national level and a slew of diversified materials have been developed. Among the different diverse wild species that have been used for development of cytoplasmic genetic male sterility; Cajanus scarabaeoides based A2 cytoplasm has been extensively used in Gujarat. The phenotypic expression is the manifestation of impact of many genetic and components non-genetic among importance of cytoplasm can not be over emphasized. Since the basic difference between the A and B lines lies in but cytoplasm wild species of Cajanus scarabaeoides, it would be worthwhile to ascertain whether the A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm of *Cajanus* scarabaeoides has any depressing effects on yield and yield components as compared to fertile counterparts having native their cytoplasm.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental materials for the present investigation comprised 6 lines encompassing 3 diverse CGMS (A) lines having  $A_2$  cytoplasm and their 3 fertile counterpart B lines having native cytoplasm as female and 5 distinct fertility restorers R lines

www.arkgroup.co.in Page 38

\_\_\_\_\_

as testers. Thirty hybrids developed among them in Line x Tester mating design were evaluated along with GTH 1 (hybrid) and GT 101 (stable variety) as checks during Kharif 2011 in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications at the Centre of Excellence for Research on Pulses, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat. Each genotype was grown in a two rows plot having 4 m length spaced 60 cm apart with intra row spacing of 20 cm thereby accommodating 40 plants per plot. The recommended packages of practices were followed. The individual plant observations were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants for days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length (cm), number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight (g), seed yield (kg/ha), harvest index (%) and total protein content (%). The data were analyzed for the combining ability analysis as per method given by Kempthorne (1957). Heterosis was estimated as per cent increase or decrease in the mean value of F<sub>1</sub> hybrid over mid parents (Relative heterosis), better parents (Heterobeltiosis) and standard check (Standard heterosis) for each character (Fonseca and Patterson, 1968).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The *per se* performance of different hybrids developed on A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm based CGMS lines (A) and their fertile counterparts (B) is given in Table 1. The data clearly indicated effect of A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm of *Cajanus scarabaeoides* in A lines on the performance of hybrids. The effect was favourable such that hybrids developed on A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm based different CGMS lines (A) produced higher seed yield than the hybrids produced on their respective fertile counterpart (B) lines having native cytoplasm. Hybrids derived from CMSGT 301A, CMSGT 308A and CMSGT 311A produced mean seed yield of 1755.10

kg/ha, 1306.15 kg/ha and 1384.79 kg/ha, respectively, as compared to 1336.17 kg/ha, 1122.22 kg/ha and 970.74 kg/ha of hybrids respective developed on their counterparts. The same trend was observed for harvest index. Further, the hybrids derived from A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm based CGMS lines (A) matured earlier as compared to hybrids from their fertile counterpart (B lines). There was impact on other characters like plant height, number of pod per plant and 100 seed weight too but this impact was not in a predictable direction and varied with genotypes and character. For other yield component traits, no impact was noticed in the mean values. These results palpably indicated that A2 cytoplasm of Cajanus scarabaeoides has no negative impact on seed yield, harvest index and days to maturity. In fact these characters were influenced favourably by A2 cytoplasm, which harbinger advantage in exploitation of heterosis in pigeonpea.

Number of hybrids that evinced standard heterosis in desirable direction is presented in Table 2. Over all, there were more number of hybrids showing better standard heterosis in A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm background (A) lines than native cytoplasm background in B lines for number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, seed yield and harvest index. In comparison to only 2 hybrids with better standard heterosis in GT 308B, there were 4 and 2 hybrids that exhibited better standard heterosis in CMSGT 301A and CMSGT 308A, respectively, for harvest index. For seed yield the number of hybrids with standard heterosis in desirable direction was 14 in A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm background (A) lines than 8 in native cytoplasm background in B lines. Contrarily, days to maturity, 100 seed weight and protein content exhibited A2 cytoplasm to be inferior in yielding number of hybrids with better standard heterosis than native cytoplasm in B lines. For other characters there seemed to

\_\_\_\_\_

be no impact of  $A_2$  cytoplasm in yielding hybrids with better standard heterosis. Though the superiority of  $A_2$  cytoplasm was evident in developing better hybrids for harvest index, yet there was no clear cut pattern of superiority or inferiority of  $A_2$  cytoplasm in A lines in yielding better *per se* hybrids as compared to native cytoplasm in B lines.

From field application point of view, the hybrids exhibiting significant heterosis in desirable direction are more important. Seed yield is the most desirable trait from economic point of view. There were conspicuous 9 A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm based hybrids with significant heterosis than just 2 in native cytoplasm background. Similar trends of over all superiority of A2 cytoplasm were observed for plant height, number of branches per plant and harvest index too. However, the trends were just the reverse for number of pod per plant and protein content. For other traits no hybrid with significant standard heterosis was observed. This observation very encouraging from development of A lines in Cajanus scarabaeoides background as no impact of A2 cytoplasm was observed in hybrids on yield component traits like pod length, number of seeds per pod and 100 seed weight.

These results are in consonance to the findings of Zhu et al. (1998), who while assessing the cytoplasmic effect cytoplasmic male sterile lines in upland cotton reported that yield and yield components were greatly influenced by both CMS cytoplasm and the interaction between cytoplasm and nuclear genotypes. However, Patel and Pathak (2006), reported no difference between fertile and CMS hybrids with respect to all the characters studied except days to flower, stem girth and nicotine content in bidi tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.). Kumar and Sagar (2010) have also studied the effect of cytoplasm on productivity and combining ability for grain yield and its contributing traits in pearl millet and reported both positive and negative cytoplasmic effects for grain yield, grain weight, harvest index and growth rate.

## **CONCLUSION**

Thus, from the present study, it can be seemed that  $A_2$  cytoplasm has positive impact on seed yield, harvest index and early maturity in  $A_2$  cytoplasm based hybrids than that developed on their fertile counterpart (B) lines having native cytoplasm. The impact was evident in other characters too but this impact was not in a predictable direction and varied with genotypes and character. Though the superiority of  $A_2$  cytoplasm was evident in developing better hybrids for harvest index, yet there was no clear cut pattern of superiority or inferiority of  $A_2$  cytoplasm in yielding better per se hybrids for other traits as compared to native cytoplasm in B lines.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Ariyanayagam, R. P., Rao, A. N. and Zaveri, P. P. (1995). Cytoplasmic genic male sterility in interspecific matings of *Cajanus. Crop Sci.*, **35**: 981-985.
- Fonseca, S. and Patterson, F. (1968). Hybrid vigour in a seven parent diallel cross in common wheat. *Crop Sci.*, **8**: 85-88.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- Kumar, R. and Sagar, P. (2010). Effect of cytoplasm on combining ability and yield attributes in pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] Indian J. Genet., 70(3): 247-256.
- Patel J. B. and Pathak H. C. (2006). Depressing effect of cytoplasmic male sterility on cured leaf yield, its components and quality traits in bidi tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). *International J. Biosci. Reporter*, **4**(2): 267 270.

- Reddy, B. V. S., Green, J. M. and Bisen, S.S. (1978). Genetic male sterility in pigeonpea. *Crop Sci.* **18:** 362-364.
- Saxena, K. B., Kumar, R. V., Madhavi Latha, K. and Dalvi V. A. (2005). Commercial pigeonpea hybrids are just a few steps away. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, **19**:7-16.
- Tikka, S. B. S.; Parmar, L. D. and Chauhan, R. M. (1997). First record of cytoplasmic

- genic malsterility system in pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.)Millsp.) through wide hybridization. GAU Res. J., **22**(2):160-162.
- Zhu, X. F., Wang, X. D., Sun, J., Ahang, T. Z. and Pan, J. J. (1998). Assessment of cytoplasmic effects of cytoplasmic male sterile lines in upland cotton. *Plant Breed.*, **117**: 549-552.

www.arkgroup.co.in Page 41

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 1:  $Per\ se$  performance of hybrids developed from  $A_2$  cytoplasm based CGMS lines (A) and their fertile counterparts (B) having native cytoplasm.

Hybrids	Days to	Days to	Plant	No. of	No. of	Pod	No. of	100	Seed	Harvest	Protein
developed using	flowering	maturity	height	branches	pods	length	seeds	seed	yield	index	content
			(cm)	per plant	per	(cm)	per	weight	(kg/ha)	(%)	(%)
					plant		pod	(g)			
CMSGT 301A	116.93	168.93	142.83	310.29	6.92	4.17	4.48	9.65	1755.10	17.48	20.84
CMSGT 308A	116.87	171.73	164.91	315.35	6.63	4.16	4.40	9.89	1306.15	14.07	20.67
CMSGT 311A	115.93	163.60	163.36	349.39	6.88	3.75	4.77	8.29	1384.79	15.21	20.56
GT 301B	116.67	170.27	153.37	313.96	6.93	4.12	4.20	9.43	1336.17	15.51	20.29
GT 308B	116.67	173.00	169.76	338.11	7.40	4.12	4.48	10.11	1122.22	11.75	20.61
GT 311B	116.40	165.33	158.20	228.40	5.47	4.39	4.93	7.86	970.74	14.47	20.81

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Table 2: Number of hybrids that evinced standard heterosis in desirable direction in A<sub>2</sub> cytoplasm based CGMS lines (A) and their fertile counterparts (B) having native cytoplasm (Value with in parenthesis indicated the number of hybrids showing significant standard heterosis in desirable direction)

Hybrids	Days to	Days to	Plant	No. of	No. of	Pod	No. of	100	Seed	Harvest	Protein
developed	flowering	maturity	height	branches	pods	length	seeds	seed	yield	index	content
using			(cm)	per plant	per	(cm)	per	weight	(kg/ha)	(%)	(%)
					plant		pod	<b>(g)</b>			
	0	0	5	5	3	3	1	1	5	4	4
CMSGT 301A	(0)	(0)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)	(1)	(1)
	1	0	3	5	3	4	0	3	4	2	4
CMSGT 308A	(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)	(2)
	1	3	3	5	2	0	1	0	5	0	5
CMSGT 311A	(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)	(0)
	0	0	5	5	3	3	0	1	4	2	4
GT 301B	(O)	(O)	(1)	(4)	(1)	(O)	(O)	(O)	(2)	(O)	(1)
	1	1	1	5	3	2	1	5	3	0	5
GT 308B	(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
	1	3	5	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	5
GT 311B	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(3)
Overall											
	2	3	11	15	8	7	2	4	14	6	13
CMS (A Lines)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(11)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(9)	(1)	(3)
Fertile (B	2	4	11	11	7	6	2	6	8	2	14
lines)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(9)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)	(5)

[MS received: January 03, 2013]

[MS accepted: March 04, 2013]